



**AN *IN VITRO* STUDIES ON EMERGENCE OF RESISTANCE IN  
FLOROQUINOLONES IN CLINICAL ISOLATES OF *PSEUDOMONAS  
AERUGINOSA* IN POULTRY**

**Sandip Vishnu Ther, Meena Ther and Satish Shukla\***

Central Poultry Diagnostic Laboratory (Phoenix Group) 1333/1, Narmada Road Jabalpur  
Madhya Pradesh India.

Article Received on 24/05/2016

Article Revised on 14/06/2016

Article Accepted on 03/07/2016

**\*Corresponding Author**

**Satish Shukla**

Central Poultry Diagnostic  
Laboratory (Phoenix  
Group) 1333/1, Narmada  
Road Jabalpur Madhya  
Pradesh India.

**ABSTRACT**

An attempt to induce resistance to enrofloxacin *in vitro* was made against isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from poultry birds. This artificial exploitation of strains resulted in the increase of minimum inhibitory concentration from 0.3µg/ml to 1 µg/ml of original strain to 8.0 to 11.5 µg/ml indicating development of resistance to enrofloxacin (Ex) and a major decrease in size of zone of

inhibition of ciprofloxacin (Cf) and levofloxacin (Le) indicating cross resistance to these agents.

Results indicate the induced resistance to enrofloxacin can promote development of cross resistance to other flouroquinolones. This indicates that of alertness is required whiles using flouroquinolones for the treatment of *pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections in poultry.

**KEYWORD:** *P. aeruginosa* enrofloxacin, emergence resistance.

**INTRODUCTION**

Flouroquinolones are commonly used antibiotics in the treatment of various infections. Resistance to flouroquinolones emerges in to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Development of resistance to enrofloxacin and other flouroquinolones during the remedy of CRD, CCRD, septicemia. Devriese and Viaene *et al.* (1975). The emergence of flouroquinolones cross resistance in *p. aeruginosa* is also frequently reported. In the present study on attempt has

been made to induce *in vitro* resistance to enrofloxacin (Ex) in clinical cases of *pseudomonas aeruginosa* in poultry. The same strain were also subjected to study their susceptibility pattern by using the other flouroquinolones like ciprofloxacin (Cf) levofloxacin (Le).

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The eight isolates were recovered from heart, liver, lungs, Airsac, of poultry birds including (day old layer, broiler chicks and breeders that died of septicaemic conditions. Swab samples were Streaked on onto Mac conky agar (Himedia) and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 to 48 h. The suspected colonies were further characterized biochemically and identified as *P. aeruginosa* according to accurate procedure (Cowan, S.T. (1974). Collee & Duguid, *et al* (1989). Cruickshank & Duguid, *et al* (1975).

Determination of the Enrofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, and levofloxacin MIC values. Enrofloxacin ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin (all obtained from Himedia). MICs were determined using the two-fold micro-broth dilution method as per CLSI standards (CLSI, 2009). Swiatlo *et al* (2000). In this study subjected to isolates of *P. aeruginosa* to Enrfloxacin, Ciprofloxacin and Levofloxacin which had an MIC range 0.3µg/ml to 1.0µg/ml Enrofloxacin were selected to induce resistance. The strains were inoculated in to peptone water (Pw) and incubated overnight at 37°C. After achieving the final bacterial concentration of 1X10<sup>5</sup>CFU/ml approximately 200 µl of peptone water culture was inoculated into 10 ml brain heart infusion (BHI) broth containing 0.05µg enrofloxacin per ml and incubated at 35°C for the 16 hours with intermittent shaking. After incubation 200 µl of culture was transferred serially for 11 times through Brain heart infusion (BHI) broth containing increasing concentration of enrofloxacin. The increasing concentration of enrofloxacin used were 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 01, 0, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2.0, 2.2 and 2.5 µg/ml. After serial passage of the strains through the drug containing media MIC to enrofloxacin was determined by agar dilution method. The rate of variant isolation was detected by standard plate count on Mueller hinton agar (MHA) containing 10µg/ml of enrofloxacin. *In-vitro* susceptibility to enrofloxacin, ciprofloxacin levofloxacin was studied in all the 8 isolates by Kirby bauer disc diffusion method (1966). Before and after resistance was induced to enrofloxacin and change in zone of inhibition were noted.

## RESULTS

Table-1 shown the MIC and zone of inhibition of the clinical isolates before and after the development of resistance to Enrofloxacin by serial dilution passage it was possible to induce resistance to enrofloxacin in all 8 isolates.

**Table 1: Minimum inhibitory concentration of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains before and after induce resistance to Enrofloxacin.**

| Source               | Strain | MIC of Original and mutant strain | Zone of Inhibition |               |              | Frequency          |
|----------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------|
|                      |        |                                   | Enrofloxacin       | Ciprofloxacin | Levofloxacin |                    |
| Broiler Chicks       | 1A     | 0.8                               | 26                 | 24            | 25           | $2 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| Liver                | 1B     | 9.0                               | 13                 | 10            | 15           |                    |
| Broiler Chicks heart | 2A     | 0.8                               | 30                 | 26            | 31           | $1 \times 10^{-7}$ |
|                      | 2B     | 12.0                              | 12                 | 14            | 16           |                    |
| Broiler Chicks lungs | 3A     | 10                                | 25                 | 23            | 27           | $1 \times 10^{-7}$ |
|                      | 3B     | 12.0                              | 14                 | 16            | 12           |                    |
| Layer chicks liver   | 4A     | 0.4                               | 28                 | 26            | 27           | $2 \times 10^{-7}$ |
|                      | 4B     | 12.5                              | 14                 | 13            | 14           |                    |
| Layer chicks heart   | 5A     | 0.8                               | 23                 | 25            | 26           | $3 \times 10^{-7}$ |
|                      | 5B     | 9.0                               | 13                 | 14            | 15           |                    |
| Breeders liver       | 6A     | 0.8                               | 28                 | 27            | 24           | $4 \times 10^{-7}$ |
|                      | 6B     | 12.0                              | 13                 | 12            | 15           |                    |
| Broiler Airsac       | 7A     | 0.7                               | 22                 | 26            | 28           | $1 \times 10^{-8}$ |
|                      | 7B     | 11.0                              | 15                 | 14            | 15           |                    |
| Broiler Heart        | 8A     | 0.6                               | 25                 | 28            | 26           | $1 \times 10^{-7}$ |
|                      | 8B     | 10.5                              | 15                 | 12            | 13           |                    |

Original strain= 1A, 2A 3A 4A 5A 6A 7A 8A, Mutant strain= 1B, 2B, 3B, 4B, 5B, 6B, 7B, 8B,

Enrofloxacin MIC range of 0.4 µg/ml to 1 µg/ml of original strain of *p. aeruginosa* were increased to 9 µg/ml . The spontaneous resistant mutant were recovered in MHA containing 9.0 µg/ml of enrofloxacin at frequency  $1 \times 10^{-7}$   $1 \times 10^{-8}$ . The microbial sensitivity pattern of newly isolated enrofloxacin mutant showed major decrease in the zone of diameter of enrofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin,

## DISCUSSION

Flouroquinolone are bactericidal rapidly acting antimicrobial drugs with wide spectrum of activity Swiatalo (2000). They are very effective against many gram negative bacteria

including *P. aeruginosa*. The main mechanism in the development of fluoroquinolone is the decrease in the binding of the target quinolones to topoisomerase enzyme Aldred *et al* (2014), Hooper (2000) Piddock L J (1995).

Deguchi & Yasuda *et al* (1997) were studied nucleotide and amino acid sequence of gyrA, gyrB Par C and Par E, genes needed for synthesis of DNA. Gyrase enzyme, mutation occurs in gyrA and par C genes. Hiroshi *et al* (2003). The form of resistance is seen with *p. aeruginosa*, *E.coli*, *klebsiella pneumoniae*, *proteus*, *staphylococcus*, against all fluoroquinolones.

The second mechanism is the decrease in the amount of fluoroquinolone entering the cells of the bacteria due to defective function of porine channels by Hirsch and Tam (2010). The third mechanism is various reflux system localized in the membranes of many bacteria including *p. aeruginosa* which pump the drug out of the bacteria Aljun *et al* (2004).

This study reveals that exposure of *P. aeruginosa* to sub inhibitory concentration of enrofloxacin can promote the development of resistance to other quinolones. The rate of development of resistant depends on the bacterial strain the concentration and duration of enrofloxacin exposure. Prolonged exposure to enrofloxacin can lead to enhanced isolation of mutant variants. Li and Nikaido (2004).

Increased rate of development of resistance was observed suggesting that enrofloxacin can increase the rate of frequency of mutation leading to drug resistance. The extended enrofloxacin treatment in our case stimulate the prolonged exposure. That occurs in vivo during enrofloxacin therapy in poultry. Livermore D.M. (2002) said about the *p. aeruginosa* is our worst nightmare.

Repeated exposure of organism to antimicrobial agents is thought to enhance the development and maintenance of resistance. Presence of antimicrobial agents in sublethal concentrations make an environmental suitable for stepwise mutation resulting in the developing resistance of the drug. Wright *et al*, (2013) were studied sub inhibitory concentrations of some antibiotics can drive diversification of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. In the present study with exposure to sublethal concentration of enrofloxacin of followed by repeated exposure to increasing concentration it was possible to induce resistance in these 8 isolates and evidenced by increase in MIC.

A major decrease in the zone of diameter of enrofloxacin after exposure to enrofloxacin *in vitro* indicates resistance to enrofloxacin. A major decrease in the zone of diameter in ciprofloxacin, and levofloxacin indicates development of cross resistance to fluoroquinolones following exposure to enrofloxacin. These results are similar to Ogle, Reller *et al* (1988) & Haverkron (1988) who studied development of ciprofloxacin resistance in *P. aeruginosa* during therapy and reported the development of cross resistance among the fluoroquinolones. The findings in our study were also similar to the results reported by Nagoba *et al* (1998) who studied the development of resistance in fluoroquinolones *in vitro*. Hirai *et al* (1987) reported type of mutational resistance producing strains of *P. aeruginosa* to norfloxacin. Limb *et al* (1987) studies among the resistance studies in four quinolones. Bruchmann *et al* (2013) studied about the quantitative contributions of drug accumulation regarding with *P. aeruginosa* Fluoroquinolone Resistance. Li, and Nikaido *et al* (2004) studies the efflux mediated mechanism of fluoroquinolones resistance in bacteria.

A studies carried out by the Chinese researcher Li, and Bi *et al* (2007) on the *E.coli* isolates from the chicken on development of enrofloxacin resistance sequence the quinolone resistance. They were found several fold greater than the maximal plasma concentration of enrofloxacin in chickens, mutation frequencies were also much lower, compared with frequencies for single-mutation isolates.

Results of the present study indicate that the development of resistance to enrofloxacin simultaneously results in the development of resistance to other fluoroquinolones which is a problem to be considered. These groups of drugs should be used with most carefully and only when it is required.

## CONCLUSION

From this study it can be concluded that care should be taken in the use of fluoroquinolone for *p. aeruginosa* infections as they develop resistance these groups of drugs quickly. *P. aeruginosa* considered as a “charged pistol” that can inhibit fluoroquinolone susceptibility. The use of these groups drugs should be limited and avoided in clinical situations where there is possibility of evolution of resistance strains.

## REFERENCES

1. Algun U, Arisoy A, Gunduz T, OZbakkalogly B.(2004). The resistance of pseudomonas aeruginosa to fluoroquinolones group of antibiotics. Indian j. med Microbiol. Cite 2016 jun 20); 22: 112-114. Available from: <http://www.ijmm.org/text.asp?2004/22/2/112/8083>.
2. Aldred Katie J., Kerns R. J, Neil O. (2014) Mechanism of Quinolone Action and Resistance Biochemistry. 2014 March 18; 53(10): 1565–1574. Published online 2014 February 27. doi: 10.1021/bi5000564
3. Bauer Kirby AW, WMM Sherries J C, Truck M. (1966). Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized by single disk method. Am. J. Clinical pathology: 45: 493-496.
4. Bruchmann S., Dötsch A., Nouri B., Chaberny Iris F. Häussler, S. (2013).Quantitative Contributions of Targ *et al* teration and Decreased Drug Accumulation to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Fluoroquinolone Resistance. Volume. 57 Number 3.Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, pp. 1361–1368.
5. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), M 07-A8. (2009). Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard. 8th ed. Wayne, PA.
6. Collee J G, Duguid J P, Fraser A G, Marmion P. (1989). Mackie and Mc cartney practical medical microbiology .13 th edition London: Churchil living stone.
7. Cowan, S.T. (1974). Cowan and Steel's. Manual for identification of medical Bacteria.
8. Cruickshank, R., Duguid, J.P; Marmion, B.P. and Swain, R.H.A. (1975): Medical Microbiology 2th Ed. Vol. II, Churchill Livingstone.Edinburgth, London and New York Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, UK.
9. Deguchi T, Yasuda M, Nakano M, Ozeki S, Kanematsu E, Nishino Y, Ishihara S, Kawada Y(1997). Detection of mutations in the gyrA and parC genes in quinolone-resistant clinical isolates of Enterobacter cloacae. J Antimicrob Chemother.;40(4):543-9
10. Devriese L A, Viaene N J, Demedts G. (1975). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection on a broiler farm. Avian Pathol. 4(3): 233-237.
11. Dostal R E, Scale P J, Yan B. J. (1992). Resistance to ciprofloxacin of respiratory pathogens in patients with cystic fibrosis. Medical Journal Australia 156: 20-24.
12. Haverkorn, M. J. (1988). Ciprofloxacin therapy of respiratory tract infection with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. 7:661-664.
13. Hirai, K., Suzue S., Irikura T., Iyobe, S., and Mitsuhashi, S.,(1987). Mutations producing resistance to norfloxacin in *pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, (31) 4 582-586

14. Hiroshi Nikaido (2003). Molecular Basis of Bacterial Outer Membrane Permeability Revisited *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.* 67(4): 593–656. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.67.4.593-656. 2003.
15. Hirsch E.B., Tam V. H (2010). Impact of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection on patient outcomes. *Expert Rev Pharmacoeconomics Outcomes Res.*; 10(4): 441–451 doi:10.1586/erp.10.49.
16. Hooper D C (2000). *Quinolones in principles and practice of infectious disease* 5<sup>th</sup> ed. 404-423.
17. Kresken, M., and B. Wiedemann. (1988). Development of resistance to nalidixic acid and the fluoroquinolones after the introduction of norfloxacin and ofloxacin. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 32: 1285-1288.
18. Leigh, D A, Emmanuel F X S, Petch V J (1986). Ciprofloxacin Therapy in complicated urinary tract infections caused by *pseudomonas* and other resistant bacteria. *J antimicrobial chemotherapy* 18: 117-121.
19. Limb, D. I., D. J. W. Dabbs, and R. C. Spencer. (1987). *In-vitro* selection of bacteria resistant to the 4-quinolone agents. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 19: 65-71.
20. Li XZ, Nikaido H (2004). Efflux-mediated drug resistance in bacteria. *Drugs.*; 64(2): 159–204. [PubMed: 14717618]
21. Li Q, Bi X, Diao Y, Deng X (2007). Mutant-prevention concentrations of enrofloxacin for *Escherichia coli* isolates from chickens. *Am J Vet Res.* 2007 Aug; 68(8): 812-815.
22. Livermore D M. (2002). Multiple mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: our worst nightmare? *Clin. Infect. Dis.*; 34(5):634–640. [PubMed: 11823954]
23. Nagoba B S, Deshmukh S R, Wadher B J, Gude U G, Gomashe A V, Tumane P M, (1998). Induction of resistance to ciprofloxacin and other fluoroquinolones in clinical and environmental isolates of *pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Ind. J Med. Microbiology*: 16: 29-30.
24. Ogle J W, Reller L B, Vasil M L (1988). Development of resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to imipenem, norfloxacin, and ciprofloxacin during therapy: proof provided by typing with a DNA probe. *J Infect Dis.*; 157(4): 743–748.
25. Piddock L J (1995). Mechanisms of resistance to fluoroquinolones: state-of-the-art 1992-1994. *Drugs.*; 49 Suppl 2: 29-35.
26. Swiatlo E, Moore E, Watt J, Mc Daniel LS. (2000). *In vitro* activity of four fluoroquinolones against clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* determined by the E test. *Int J Antimicrob*; 15: 73-76.

27. U Algun, A Arisoy, T Gunduz, B Ozbakkaloglu (2004).The resistance of *pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains to fluoroquinolone group of antibiotics. Indian journal of medical microbiology. Vol 22 (2) pp.112-114.
28. Wright E. A, Fothergill J. L, Paterson, S., Michael A., Craig B.,Stanley W.,(2013). sub-inhibitory concentrations of some antibiotics can drive diversification of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* populations in artificial sputum medium. BMC Microbiology 13: 170, DOI: 10.1186/1471-2180-13-170.