



DYSLIPIDEMIC FACTORS IN FEMALE SMOKERS-A STUDY AT TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL FROM NORTH INDIA

Premshanker Singh^{1*}, Ajay Misra², MS Siddique³, Nikhil Gupta⁴ and DP Singh⁵

¹FMR Prof and Head Medicine, Dr RML Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow.

²Prof Medicine, Era Medical College, Lucknow.

³Prof Medicine, Era Medical College, Lucknow.

⁴Assoc Prof Medicine, Dr RML Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow.

⁵Senior Resident, Dr RML Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow.

*Corresponding Author: Premshanker Singh

FMR Prof and Head Medicine, Dr RML Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow.

Article Received on 03/01/2022

Article Revised on 24/01/2022

Article Accepted on 13/02/2022

ABSTRACT

Aim of present study was to determine the relationship between smoking and lipid related indices in Indian women smokers Smoking is a major risk factor for dyslipidemia. However, it remains to be clarified whether light smoking in Asian women affects lipid profiles and lipid-related indices. Alcohol drinking influences blood lipid levels and is a potent confounder for the relationship between smoking and blood lipids. Thus, analysis for the relationships between smoking and blood lipid-related indices was also performed after stratification of drinking status. The participants were 18,79 Indian women aged 35–75 years who were smokers and reporting to Medical OPD (MOPD) of Dr RML Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow, India=22601. The relationships of smoking with each index were investigated by using analysis of covariance and logistic regression analysis with adjustment for age and other lifestyle factors such as alcohol drinking and regular exercise. In multivariate logistic regression analysis, odds ratios of smokers vs. nonsmokers for high ratio of LDL cholesterol to HDL cholesterol (LDL-C/HDL-C), high ratio of triglycerides to HDL cholesterol (TG/HDL-C), high lipid accumulation product (LAP) and high cardio metabolic index (CMI) were significantly higher than the reference level of 1.00 in overall participants (2.17 [1.78–2.66], 1.70 [1.47–1.97], 1.17 [1.08–1.27] and 1.41 [1.30–1.53], respectively), nondrinking participants (2.29 [1.80–2.91], 1.68 [1.39–2.02], 1.21 [1.08–1.36] and 1.46 [1.30–1.63], respectively), and drinking participants (1.96 [1.35–2.85], 1.76 [1.39–2.21], 1.13 [1.01–1.27] and 1.38 [1.22–1.55], respectively). In overall participants, nondrinking participants and drinking participants, LDL-C/HDL-C, TG/HDL-C, LAP and CMI were significantly higher in smokers than in nonsmokers except HDL-C which was lower in smoker than nonsmoker. In nondrinking participants, triglycerides and LDL cholesterol were significantly higher in smokers than in nonsmokers, while the ratio of waist circumference to height and HDL cholesterol were significantly lower in smokers than in nonsmokers. In women, all of the lipid-related indices eg Total Cholesterol (TC), HDL Cholesterol (HDL-C), Low Density Lipid Cholesterol (LDL-C), Very Low Density Lipid Cholesterol (VLDL-C) and Triglyceride Cholesterol (TGC) tested were higher in smokers than in nonsmokers and these associations were independent of alcohol drinking. The high levels of the lipid-related indices in smokers result from the detrimental effects of smoking on levels of blood lipids such as triglycerides, HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol.

INTRODUCTION

Dyslipidemia is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease.^[1] Lipid-related indices, including the ratio of LDL cholesterol to HDL cholesterol (LDL-C/HDL-C), ratio of triglycerides to HDL cholesterol (TG/HDL-C), lipid accumulation product (LAP) and cardio metabolic index (CMI), have been proposed to be useful predictors for the risk of cardiovascular disease. LDL-C/HDL-C is a classical atherogenic index that predicts the risk of ischemic heart disease.^[2] TG/HDL-C has been shown to be a better predictor of cardiovascular disease than LDL-

C/HDL-C^[3,4] and to reflect a strong atherogenic molecule, small dense LDL.^[5] LAP, calculated by using triglycerides and waist circumference, has been reported to be a better predictor of diabetes and cardiovascular disease than body mass index.^[7,8,9] More recently, CMI, defined as the product of TG/HDL-C and the ratio of waist circumference to height (WHtR)^[10], has been proposed to be a discriminator for diabetes and has been shown to be associated with the degree of atherosclerotic progression in patients with peripheral arterial disease.^[11]

Habitual smoking is a potent risk factor for cardiovascular diseases, including ischemic heart disease, stroke, peripheral arterial disease, and aortic abdominal aneurysm.^[12] There are different mechanisms for deterioration of cardiovascular health by smoking: smoking induces dyslipidemia, increases blood coagulability, and causes oxidative stress.^[12] The results of a previous meta-analysis showed that HDL cholesterol and triglycerides were significantly lower (-5.7%) and higher (9.1%), respectively, in smokers than in nonsmokers, while LDL cholesterol was not significantly different between nonsmokers and smokers (1.7%). These differences in blood lipid levels in smokers and nonsmokers are thought to reflect smoking-induced changes in lipid metabolism: smokers have been reported to have lower activities of lipoprotein lipase (LPL) and lecithin.^[13,14] cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT) and a higher activity of cholesterol ester transfer protein (CETP) than those in nonsmokers. A randomized clinical trial showed that one-year smoking cessation resulted in a significant increase in HDL cholesterol but no change in LDL cholesterol.^[15,16,17]

According to the results of the national survey in Japan^[18], the male smoking rate declined considerably during the past quarter of a century (53.1% in 1990 vs. 32.2% in 2014), while the female smoking rate did not change greatly (9.7% in 1990 vs. 8.5% in 2014). Moreover, a recent systematic review and meta-analysis study has shown a greater effect of smoking on ischemic heart disease in women than in men.^[19] Women were also reported to have a larger increase in HDL cholesterol after smoking cessation than men.^[17] Thus, cessation of smoking, a modifiable lifestyle, in women is an important issue in public health for prevention of cardiovascular disease. Although smoking is related to dyslipidemia such as hypo-HDL-cholesterolemia and hyper-triglyceridemia^[18,20], it remains to be clarified whether and how smoking affects lipid-related indices in women.

The purpose of this study was to determine the relationships of smoking with four lipid-related indices (LDL-C/HDL-C, TG/HDL-C, LAP and CMI) and the variables comprising the indices (waist circumference, WHtR, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol) in women. There is a strong positive relationship between alcohol consumption and smoking: Smokers are more prone to be alcohol drinkers than are nonsmokers.^[19] Alcohol drinking potently affects blood lipid levels: Alcohol drinking increases HDL cholesterol and triglycerides and decreases LDL cholesterol^[21,22,23], resulting in changes in blood lipid-related indices. Thus, alcohol drinking is expected to potently confound the relationships of smoking with blood lipid-related indices and, in this study, in order to take the confounding by alcohol into consideration, we analyzed the relationships of smoking with blood lipid-related indices using subgroup analysis by alcohol. Other possible confounders such as age and regular exercise, in addition

to alcohol drinking, were used as explanatory variables for adjustment in multivariate analyses (logistic regression analysis and analysis of covariance).

METHOD

The participants were 18,79 Indian women aged ≥ 35 and ≤ 75 years who had received periodic health check-up examination in MOPD at Dr RML Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow. A cross-sectional study was performed using a local population-based database for the above participants. In a questionnaire at the health checkup, participants were required to identify any conditions for which they were receiving treatment. Those who had been receiving medication therapy for dyslipidemia were excluded from participants of this study. Histories of cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise (almost every day with exercise for 30 min or longer per day) and any other relevant illness of significance were also surveyed by questionnaires.

In the self-written questionnaire paper, participants were first asked "Are you a habitual cigarette smoker?" Cigarette smokers were defined as participants who had smoked for 6 months or longer and had smoked for the past month or longer. Then the participants who were smokers were further asked "What is your average cigarette consumption per day?". The response categories for this question were "less than 21 cigarettes per day", "21 or more and less than 41 cigarettes per day" and "41 or more cigarettes per day". In this study, only two categories of smoking, nonsmokers and smokers were used for analysis because the percentage of heavy smokers who smoked 21 or more cigarettes per day was very low, 0.80% ($n = 15$), in overall participants. Average alcohol consumption of each participant per week was reported on the questionnaires. Frequency of habitual alcohol drinking was asked in the questionnaire as "How frequently do you drink alcohol?". Frequency of weekly alcohol drinking was categorized as "every day" (regular drinkers), "sometimes" (occasional drinkers) and "never" (nondrinker) Height and body weight were measured with the participants wearing light clothes without a jacket or coat at the health checkup. Waist circumference was measured at the navel level according to the recommendation of the definition of the IDF ATP-3 criteria for the Diagnostic Criteria of Metabolic Syndrome.^[23,24] Cut-off values of large waist circumference and high WHR used were 80 cm and 0.8, respectively.^[24,25] Post 10 hour fast on preceding night each participant's blood was taken in the morning for serum triglyceride, HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol levels which were measured by enzymatic methods using commercial kits, pureauto S TG-N, cholestest N-HDL and cholestest LDL, respectively. The coefficients of variation for reproducibility of measurement were $\leq 3\%$ for triglycerides, $\leq 5\%$ for HDL cholesterol and $\leq 5\%$ for LDL cholesterol. The cut-off values for high triglycerides, low HDL cholesterol and high LDL cholesterol used were 150 mg/dl ^[22], 50 mg/dl and

130 mg/dl, respectively.^[23,24] The cut-off values for high LDL-C/HDL-C and high TG/HDL-C used were 3.5 and 3.75, respectively. LAP was determined by using triglyceride level (TG) and waist circumference (WC) as follows: $LAP = TG \text{ (mmol/L)} \times [WC \text{ (cm)} - 58]$.^[6,23] The cut-off value for high LAP was defined as 21.1.^[24] CMI was calculated as the product of WHtR and TG/HDL-C, and the cut-off value for high CMI used was 0.800.^[22,23,24]

Statistical analysis

The purpose of this study was to clarify the relationship between smoking and dyslipidemia in Japanese women using lipid-related variables, especially lipid indices. Thus, the primary analysis was carried out to elucidate the relationship between smoking and dyslipidemia using logistic regression, and the secondary analysis was carried out to confirm the relationship between smoking and level of each variable using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). Each variable was compared between nonsmokers and smokers in overall participants and in nondrinking and drinking participants separately. Categorical variables were compared between nonsmokers and smokers using the chi-square test for independence. In logistic regression analysis, odds ratios of smokers vs. nonsmokers for each variable (large waist circumference, high WHtR, high triglycerides, low HDL cholesterol, high LDL cholesterol, high LDL-C/HDL-C, high TG/HDL-C, high LAP or high CMI) were estimated. Interaction analysis was performed using an interaction term consisting of smoking (nonsmokers vs. smokers) and alcohol drinking (nondrinkers vs. drinkers) as an explanatory variable in logistic regression model. As a sensitivity analysis, logistic regression analysis of participants excluding heavy smokers (comparison between light smokers and nonsmokers) was performed since the purpose of this study was to clarify the relationships of light smoking with dyslipidemia in Japanese women. In addition, drinkers were also excluded in the sensitivity analysis since alcohol drinking is a potent confounder for the relationship between smoking and blood lipids.^[20,21,22,23] For continuous variables, means of each variable were compared between nonsmokers and smokers by using Student's *t* test in univariate analysis. In multivariate analysis, the mean levels of each variable were compared by using ANCOVA followed by Student's *t*-test after Bonferroni correction. Since triglycerides, TG/HDL-C, LAP and CMI did not show a normal distribution, they were compared non-parametrically by using the Mann-Whitney *U* test in univariate analysis or were used after logarithmic transformation in ANCOVA. Participants showing waist circumference of 58 cm or smaller ($n=66$) were excluded from participants in ANCOVA for LAP, because their values for log-transformation were zero or under zero, and thus log-transformed LAP could not be calculated. Age and a habit of regular exercise were adjusted in ANCOVA and multivariate logistic regression analysis. In addition, a habit of alcohol drinking was added to the explanatory variables

in analysis for overall participants (non, occasional and regular drinkers) and drinking participants (occasional and regular drinkers). Each variable in nonsmokers was also compared among nondrinkers, occasional drinkers and regular drinkers by using ANCOVA with adjustment for age and a habit of regular exercise (Table 7). All *p* values are two-sided and values of *p* less than 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance. Statistical analyses were performed using a computer software program (SPSS version 16.0J for Windows, Chicago IL, USA).

RESULTS

Profiles of the participants and results of comparison of each variable between nonsmokers and smokers in univariate analyses as mentioned ahead. Age was significantly younger in smokers than in nonsmokers. The percentages of occasional drinkers and regular drinkers were significantly higher in smokers than in nonsmokers, while the percentage of participants with a habit of regular exercise was not significantly different in smokers and nonsmokers. In nondrinkers ($n=11,41$) and drinkers ($n=738$), the proportions of smokers were 13.1% ($n=149$) and 25.8% ($n=190$), respectively: The percentage of smokers was significantly higher ($p < 0.01$) in drinkers than in nondrinkers. Height and waist circumference were slightly but significantly larger and smaller, respectively, in smokers than in nonsmokers. WHtR and the percentage of subjects showing high WHtR were significantly lower in smokers than in nonsmokers. Triglycerides were significantly higher and HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol were significantly lower in smokers than in nonsmokers. The percentages of participants showing high triglycerides and low HDL cholesterol were significantly higher in smokers than in nonsmokers, while the percentage of participants showing high LDL cholesterol was significantly lower in smokers than in nonsmokers. TG/HDL-C and CMI were slightly but significantly higher in smokers than in nonsmokers, while LAP was slightly but significantly lower in smokers than in nonsmokers, and LDL-C/HDL-C was not significantly different in nonsmokers and smokers. The percentages of participants showing high LDL-C/HDL-C and high TG/HDL-C were significantly higher in smokers than in nonsmokers, while the percentage of participants showing high LAP was slightly but significantly lower in smokers than in nonsmokers, and the percentage of participants showing high CMI was not significantly different in nonsmokers and smokers. Odds ratios of smokers vs. nonsmokers for abnormal levels of each variable comprising lipid-related indices The relationships of smoking with abnormal levels of each variable comprising lipid-related indices were investigated by using logistic regression analysis. The odds ratios of smokers vs. nonsmokers for high WHtR were slightly but significantly or marginally significantly lower than the reference level of 1.00 in overall participants, nondrinking participants and drinking participants, while in all of these participant groups, the odds ratios of smokers vs. nonsmokers for

large waist circumference were not significantly different from the reference level. In overall participants, nondrinking participants and drinking participants, the odds ratios of smokers vs. nonsmokers for high triglycerides and low HDL cholesterol were significantly higher than the reference level. The adjusted odds ratios for high LDL cholesterol were significantly higher than the reference level in nondrinking participants and overall participants, while the adjusted odds ratio was not significantly different from the reference level in drinking participants. Odds ratios of smokers vs. nonsmokers for high levels of each lipid-related index. The results of multivariate logistic regression analysis for the relationships of smoking with high levels of each lipid-related index are mentioned ahead. In overall participants, nondrinking participants and drinking participants, adjusted odds ratios of smokers vs. nonsmokers for high LDL-C/HDL-C, high TG/HDL-C, high LAP or high CMI were significantly higher than the reference level. Interaction analysis for the relationship between smoking and each lipid variable. Interaction analysis was performed using an interaction term consisting of smoking (nonsmokers vs. smokers) and alcohol drinking (nondrinkers vs. drinkers). As mentioned ahead, the odds ratios of the interaction term for large waist circumference, high WHtR, high triglycerides, low HDL cholesterol, high LDL cholesterol, high LDL-C/HDL-C ratio, high TG/HDL-C ratio, high LAP, and high CMI were not significantly different from the reference level. Only the odds ratio of the interaction term for high LDL cholesterol showed a significant difference from the reference level. Sensitivity analysis for the relationship between smoking and each lipid variable. The analysis of participants excluding drinkers and heavy smokers (comparison between light smokers and nonsmokers in nondrinkers), odds ratios of light smokers vs. nonsmokers for high triglycerides, low HDL cholesterol, high LDL cholesterol, high LDL-C/HDL-C ratio, high TG/HDL-C ratio, high LAP, and high CMI were significantly higher than the reference level, while the odds ratios for large waist circumference and high WHtR were not significantly different from the reference level, as mentioned. These results are similar to the results of the primary analysis, and we confirmed the finding of an alcohol-independent association of light smoking with dyslipidemia in Indian women. Comparison of mean levels of each variable comprising lipid-related indices in smokers and nonsmokers. Mean levels of each variable comprising lipid-related indices in overall participants, nondrinking participants and drinking participants were compared in smokers and nonsmokers by using ANCOVA. In overall participants, waist circumference was slightly but significantly lower in smokers than in nonsmokers, while in nondrinkers or drinkers, it was not significantly different between nonsmokers and smokers. In overall participants, nondrinking participants and drinking participants, WHtR and HDL cholesterol were significantly lower in smokers than in nonsmokers, and log-transformed triglycerides was significantly higher in smokers than in

nonsmokers. In nondrinkers, LDL cholesterol was significantly higher in smokers than in nonsmokers, while in drinkers, LDL cholesterol was significantly lower in smokers than in nonsmokers. In overall participants, LDL cholesterol was not significantly different in nonsmokers and smokers. Comparison of mean levels of each lipid-related index in smokers and nonsmokers are mentioned below. Mean levels of each lipid-related index compared between smokers and nonsmokers by using ANCOVA. In overall participants, nondrinking participants and drinking participants, LDL-C/HDL-C, TG/HDL-C, LAP and CMI were significantly higher in smokers than in nonsmokers. Comparison of mean levels of each variable comprising lipid-related indices or each lipid-related index in nondrinkers and drinkers of the nonsmoking participant group. In order to investigate the relationships of drinking with each variable, mean levels of each variable in nonsmoking participants were compared among nondrinkers, occasional drinkers and regular drinkers by using ANCOVA. WHtR was significantly lower in regular drinkers than in nondrinkers and occasional drinkers, while waist circumference was not significantly different among non-, occasional and regular drinkers. HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol were significantly higher and lower, respectively, in occasional drinkers than in nondrinkers and were significantly higher and lower, respectively, in regular drinkers than in nondrinkers and occasional drinkers. Log-transformed triglycerides was significantly lower in occasional and regular drinkers than in nondrinkers. LDL-C/HDL-C, TG/HDL-C and CMI were significantly lower in occasional drinkers than in nondrinkers and were significantly lower in regular drinkers than in nondrinkers and occasional drinkers. LAP was significantly lower in regular drinkers than in nondrinkers but was not significantly different in occasional drinkers and nondrinkers.

DISCUSSION

In women, smoking was positively associated with triglycerides and lipid-related indices including LDL-C/HDL-C, TG/HDL-C, LAP and CMI, while there was an inverse association of smoking with HDL cholesterol. These relationships of smoking were independent of alcohol drinking although the percentages of occasional and regular drinkers were significantly higher in smokers than in nonsmokers. On the other hand, the relationship between smoking and LDL cholesterol was potentially influenced by alcohol drinking: Smoking showed a positive association with LDL cholesterol in nondrinkers but not in drinkers and a significant interaction of alcohol drinking with smoking was found for high LDL cholesterol. Therefore, alcohol drinking confounded the relationship of smoking with LDL cholesterol but not the relationships of smoking with other lipid-related variables tested. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first study showing alcohol-independent associations of light smoking with lipid profiles and lipid-related indices in Asian women and the results will

be useful for prevention of cardiovascular disease from the viewpoint of public health.

There is a strong association between frequencies of smokers and drinkers.^[20] The associations of alcohol drinking with blood lipids and lipid-related indices were opposite to the associations of smoking with them: HDL cholesterol was higher and triglycerides, LDL cholesterol and all of the four lipid indices were lower in drinkers than in nondrinkers. Thus, alcohol drinking should be taken into account when the relations of smoking with lipid-related indices are considered. In nondrinkers, smoking was positively associated with triglycerides and LDL cholesterol, was inversely associated with HDL cholesterol and WHtR, and was not significantly related to waist circumference. Therefore, alcohol-independent positive associations of smoking with the four lipid-related indices in women results from proneness of smokers to dyslipidemia, such as hyper-triglyceridemia, hypo-HDL cholesterolemia and hyper-LDL cholesterolemia. This is one of few study showing the associations between smoking and recent lipid-related indices and clarifying the reasons for the associations in women.

It is needless to say that smoking should be avoided from the viewpoint of prevention of cardiovascular disease. The lipid-related indices are discriminators for cardiovascular disease, and consistent positive associations between smoking and the lipid indices in women were found in this study. Therefore, smoking facilitates progression of atherosclerosis through deterioration of the blood lipid profile, resulting in increased risk of cardiovascular disease. In a recent study in which database of Chinese male subjects was analyzed, smoking was associated with TG/HDL-C and LAP independently of alcohol drinking.^[22] In a study using Indian male participants aged 35–60 years, there was a positive dose-dependent association between smoking and high CMI: odds ratios vs. nonsmokers for high CMI were 1.16 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.10–1.23) in light smokers (≤ 20 cigarettes per day), 1.60 (95% CI: 1.49–1.70) in heavy smokers (> 20 and ≤ 40 cigarettes per day) and 2.34 (95% CI: 1.77–3.09) in very heavy smokers (> 40 cigarettes per day). In the present study using Indian female participants, the odds ratio was 1.41 (95% CI: 1.30–1.53) in overall smokers, most of whom (99.2%) were light smokers. After excluding heavy smokers (0.08%), the odds ratio in light smokers vs. nonsmokers for high CMI was calculated to be 1.40 (95% CI: 1.28–1.52, $p < 0.01$) in the present study. Thus, the odds ratio in light smokers was higher in women than in men, suggesting a stronger association between smoking and CMI in women than in men. This agrees with the results of a previous systematic review and meta-analysis study showing that the detrimental effect of smoking was stronger for women than for men in ischemic heart disease.^[19] Interestingly, the above odds ratio in light smokers vs. nonsmokers for high CMI in women was 1.21-fold

higher than the odds ratio in men, and this ratio is close to the female-to-male relative risk ratio of smoking for coronary heart disease of 1.25 shown by the above meta-analysis study.^[19] Therefore, smoking shows a detrimental effect on cardiometabolic health in women, although the amount of smoking is much less in female smokers than in male smokers.

CONCLUSIONS

Smoking is positively associated with lipid-related indices in women independently of alcohol drinking, although drinkers are much more frequent in smokers than in nonsmokers. High lipid-related indices in smokers reflect their proneness to dyslipidemia such as hypertriglyceridemia, hyper-LDL-cholesterolemia and hypo-HDL-cholesterolemia.

Abbreviations

ANCOVA: Analysis of covariance.

CETP: Cholesterol ester transfer protein.

CMI: Cardio metabolic index.

HDL-C: High density lipoprotein cholesterol.

LAP: Lipid accumulation product.

LCAT: Lecithin cholesterol acyltransferase

LDL-C: Low density lipoprotein cholesterol

LDL-C/HDL-C: The ratio of LDL cholesterol to HDL cholesterol

LPL: Lipoprotein lipase

TG: Triglycerides

TG/HDL-C: The ratio of triglycerides to HDL cholesterol

WC: Waist circumference

WHtR: The ratio of waist circumference to height

REFERENCES

1. Gaziano JM, Hennekens CH, O'Donnell CJ, Breslow JL, Buring JE. Fasting triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein, and risk of myocardial infarction. *Circulation*, 1997; 9: 112-116.
2. Jeppesen J, Hein HO, Suadicani P, Gyntelberg F. Relation of high TG-low HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol to the incidence of ischemic heart disease. An 8-year follow-up in the Copenhagen male study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*, 1997; 17: 1114–1120.
3. Dobiášová M, Frohlich J. The plasma parameter log (TG/HDL-C) as an atherogenic index: correlation with lipoprotein particle size and esterification rate in apoB-lipoprotein-depleted plasma (FER_{HDL}). *Clin Biochem*, 2001; 34: 583–588.
4. Kahn HS. The "lipid accumulation product" performs better than the body mass index for recognizing cardiovascular risk: a population-based comparison. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*, 2005; 5: 26.
5. Kahn HS. The lipid accumulation product is better than BMI for identifying diabetes: a population-based comparison. *Diabetes Care*, 2006; 29: 151–163.

6. Bozorgmanesh M, Hadaegh F, Azizi F. Diabetes prediction, lipid accumulation product, and adiposity measures; 6-year follow-up: Tehran lipid and glucose study. *Lipids Health Dis*, 2010; 9: 45.
7. Erhardt L. Cigarette smoking: an undertreated risk factor for cardiovascular disease. *Atherosclerosis*, 2009; 205: 23–32.
8. Craig WY, Palomaki GE, Haddow JE. Cigarette smoking and serum lipid and lipoprotein concentrations: an analysis of published data. *BMJ*, 1989; 298: 784–788.
9. Freeman DJ, Caslake MJ, Griffin BA, Hinnie J, Tan CE, Watson TD, Packard CJ, Shepherd J. The effect of smoking on post-heparin lipoprotein and hepatic lipase, cholesteryl ester transfer protein and lecithin: cholesterol acyl transferase activities in human plasma. *Eur J Clin Invest*, 1998; 28: 584–591.
10. Dullaart RP, Hoogenberg K, Dikkeschei BD, van Tol A. Higher plasma lipid transfer protein activities and unfavorable lipoprotein changes in cigarette-smoking men. *Arterioscler Thromb*, 1994; 14: 1581–1588.
11. Gepner AD, Piper ME, Johnson HM, Fiore MC, Baker TB, Stein JH. Effects of smoking and smoking cessation on lipids and lipoproteins: outcomes from a randomized clinical trial. *Am Heart J*, 2011; 161: 145–151.
12. Anonymous; Health, Labour and Welfare Statistics Association. Annual Statistical Report of National Health Conditions. *J Health Welfare Statistics*, 2016/2017; 63(9): 106–110.
13. Huxley RR, Woodward M. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for coronary heart disease in women compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Lancet*. 2011; 378: 1297–1300
14. Veenstra J, Schenkel JA, van Erp-Baart AM, Brants HA, Hulshof KF, Kistemaker C, Schaafsma G, Ockhuizen T. Alcohol consumption in relation to food intake and smoking habits in the Dutch National Food Consumption Survey. *Eur J Clin Nutr*, 1993; 47: 482–890.
15. Castelli WP, Doyle JT, Gordon T, Hames CG, Hjortland MC, Hulley SB, et al. Alcohol and blood lipids. The cooperative lipoprotein phenotyping study. *Lancet*. 1977; 2: 153–155.
16. Langer RD, Criqui MH, Reed DM. Lipoproteins and blood pressure as biological pathways for effect of moderate alcohol consumption on coronary heart disease. *Circulation*, 1992; 85: 910–915.
17. Van de Wiel A. The effect of alcohol on postprandial and fasting triglycerides. *Int J Vasc Med*, 2012; 8: 625–634.
18. Anonymous. Metabolic syndrome-definition and diagnostic criteria in Japan. *J Jpn Soc Int Med*, 2005; 4: 794–809.
19. J.Hsieh SD, Muto T. Metabolic syndrome in Japanese men and women with special reference to the anthropometric criteria for the assessment of obesity: proposal to use the waist-to-height ratio. *Prev Med*. 2006; 42: 135–139.
20. Teramoto T, Sasaki J, Ishibashi S, Birou S, Daida H, Dohi S, Egusa G, Hiro T, Hirobe K, Iida M, Kihara S, Kinoshita M, Maruyama C, Ohta T, Okamura T, Yamashita S, Yokode M, Yokote K. Japan atherosclerosis society. Executive summary of the Japan Atherosclerosis Society (JAS) guidelines for the diagnosis and prevention of atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases in Japan –2012 version. *J Atheroscler Thromb*, 2013; 20: 517–523.
21. Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, Donato KA, Fruchart JC, James WP, Loria CM, Smith SC Jr. International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; International Association for the Study of Obesity. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the Study of Obesity. *Circulation*, 2009; 120: 1640–1645.
22. Wakabayashi I, Daimon T. A strong association between lipid accumulation product and diabetes mellitus in Japanese women and men. *J Atheroscler Thromb*, 2014; 21: 282–288.
23. Li XX, Zhao Y, Huang LX, Xu HX, Liu XY, Yang JJ, Zhang PJ, Zhang YH. Effects of smoking and alcohol consumption on lipid profile in male adults in northwest rural China. *Public Health*, 2018; 157: 7–13.
24. Wakabayashi I. Relationship between smoking and cardiometabolic index in middle-aged men. *Clin Lab*, 2016; 62: 1045–1051.
25. Wakabayashi I, Daimon T. The "cardiometabolic index" as a new marker determined by adiposity and blood lipids for discrimination of diabetes mellitus. *Clin Chim Acta*, 2015; 438: 274–278.
26. Wakabayashi I, Sotoda Y, Hirooka S, Orita H. Association between cardiometabolic index and atherosclerotic progression in patients with peripheral arterial disease. *Clin Chim Acta*, 2015; 446: 231–236.
27. Burns DM. Epidemiology of smoking-induced cardiovascular disease. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*, 2003; 46: 11–29.